

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/023,177

Applicant(s)

DARTEY ET AL.

Examiner

Susan T. Tran

Art Unit

1615

## All Participants:

(1) Susan T. Tran.

(2) Timothy E. Tracy.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12 May 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

of record

Prior art documents discussed:

Laguna Granja et al.

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To place this application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to 1) amend the composition to a comestible composition; 2) incorporate claim 6 and Markush group of cellulosic material disclosed in the specification at page 5, 3rd paragraph into claim 5; 3) cancel claim 6. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment..